

193

The 12th October, 1983

**No. ID/FD/75/83/55437.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chuni Lal Sharma and the management of M/s. (i) Contractor S. P. Sharma C/o Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd. (Rolling Mill Division) Plot No. 264, Sector-24, Faridabad (ii) M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd. Plot No. 264, Sector 24, (Rolling Mills Division) Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chuni Lal Sharma was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/FD/75/83/55465**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Mahesh Prasad Gupta and the management of M/s (i) Contractor S. P. Sharma C/o Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd. (Rolling Mill Division) Plot No. 264, Sector-24, Faridabad (ii) M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd. Plot No. 264, Sector-24, (Rolling Mills Division) Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workwoman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mahesh Prasad Gupta was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/FD/75/83/45473.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Lal Prasad and the management of M/s (i) Contractor S. P. Sharma C/o Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd (Rolling Mill Division) Plot No. 264. Sector-24, Faridabad (ii) M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd. Plot No. 264, Sector 24, (Rolling Mills Division) Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Lal Prasad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

MEENAXI ANAND CHAUD HRI,  
Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour Department,

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 27th September, 1983

**No. ID/FD/49-83/51986.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Md. Yakub and the management of M/s Saz International 'vt., Ltd., Plot No. 46-49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad. regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Md. Yakub was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/9-83/51993.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Md. Saleem and the management of M/s Siz International Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 46-49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Md. Saleem was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/49-83/52000.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jan Mahamad and the management of M/s. Siz International Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 46-49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Government notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jan Mahamad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 28th September, 1983

No. ID/YMN/207-83/52362.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bishav Dev and the management of M/s. (i) Shri P. K. Singh Contractor Bailing Board Saw Mills, Unit Shree Gopal, Yamuna Nagar (ii) Vidyadhar Mishar & Shankar Tiwari, Contractor, Bailing Board Saw Mills Ballarpur Industries Ltd., Unit Shree Gopal Yamuna-nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted, *vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bishav Dev was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 11th October, 1983

No. ID/FD/128-83/55125.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hari Hir Parshad and the management of M/s Manceesh Wire Industries Plot No. 215, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Har Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/2/128-83/55132.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hari Nath Yadav and the management of M/s. Maneesh Wire Industries Plot No. 215, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Nath Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. FD/ED/2/128-83/55139.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Sewak Pd. and the management of M/s Maneesh Wire Industries Plot No. 215 Sector 24, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Sewak Pd. was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/2/128-83/55146.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workman Shri Bali Ram Singh and the management of M/s Maneesh Wire Industries Plot No. 215, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matters to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bali Ram Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/2/128-83/55153.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ranjit Parsad Gupta and the management of M/s Maneesh Wire Industries Plot No.215, Sector24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ranjit Parsad Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?